# MINAMATA CONVENTION ON MERCURY 2021

* Questions 8.2, 12.1, 13.2, 14.3 and Part C amended by Côte d'Ivoire on 15 February 2022

**REPORTING PERIOD:**
*16 August 2017 to 31 December 2020*

▼ INFORMATION ON THE PARTY

## 1. Information on the party

**Name of party**
Côte d'Ivoire

**Date on which its instrument of ratification, accession, approval or acceptance was deposited**
1 October 2019

**Date of entry into force of the Convention for the party**
30 December 2019

## 2. Information on the national focal point

**Full name of the institution**
Ministère de l'Environnement et du Developpement Durable

**Title of National Focal Point**
Mr.

**Name of National Focal Point**
Venance Martial Say

**Mailing address**
Cité administrative Tour D, 10ème Etage.
Abidjan Côte d'Ivoire

**Telephone number**
225 07 07 05 2119

**Fax number**
{Empty}

**E-mail**
cote-d-ivoire@localhost

**Second E-mail**
{Empty}

**Web page**
{Empty}

## 3. Information about the contact officer submitting the reporting format if different from the above

**Focal Point is submitting the national report**

- (●) Information is submitted by the national focal point
- ( ) Information is submitted through the national focal point by the contact officer

▼ ART. 3: MERCURY SUPPLY SOURCES AND TRADE

## 3.1. Does the party have any primary mercury mines that were operating within its territory at the date of entry into force of the Convention for the party?

- ( ) Yes
- (●) No

**Additional information on this question if needed**
{Empty}

## 3.2. Does the party have any primary mercury mines that are now in operation that were not in operation at the time of entry into force of the Convention for the party?

- ( ) Yes
- (●) No

## 3.3. Has the party endeavoured to identify individual stocks of mercury or mercury compounds exceeding 50 metric tons and sources of mercury supply generating stocks exceeding 10 metric tons per year that are located within its territory?

- ( ) Yes
- (●) No

**If the party answered No above, please explain.**
Parceque la Côte d'Ivoire n'a pas un stock de mercure dépassant 50 tonnes métriques de mercure

## 3.4. Does the party have excess mercury available from the decommissioning of chlor-alkali facilities?

- ( ) Yes
- (●) No

## 3.5. *Has the party received consent, or relied on a general notification of consent, in accordance with article 3, including any required certification from importing non-parties, for all exports of mercury from the party's territory in the reporting period?

- [ ] Yes, exports to parties
- [ ] Yes, exports to non-parties
- [x] No

**Additional information if needed**
{Empty}

## 3.6. Has the party allowed the import of mercury from a non-party?

- (•) No
- ( ) Yes
- ( ) The importing party has relied on paragraph 7 of article 3

## Part E - Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so

{Empty}

▼ ART. 4: MERCURY-ADDED PRODUCTS

## 4.1. Has the party taken any appropriate measures to not allow the manufacture, import or export of mercury-added products listed in Part I of Annex A of the Convention after the phase-out date specified for those products?

- (•) Yes
- ( ) No
- ( ) Yes (implementing paragraph 2 of article 4)

**If yes, please provide information on the measures.**
- Arrêté n° 159/MINAGRI du 21 juin 2004, portant interdiction d'emploi en agriculture de certaines substances actives entrant dans la fabrication des produits phytopharmaceutiques y compris le mercure

- Décret 2015-288 du 29 avril 2015 portant réglementation des produits cosmétiques et d'hygiène corporelle interdit l'usage du mercure dans la production de ces produits.

## 4.3. Has the party taken two or more measures for the mercury-added products listed in Part II of Annex A in accordance with the provisions set out therein?

- ( ) Yes
- (•) No

## 4.4. Has the party taken measures to prevent the incorporation into assembled products of mercury-added products whose manufacture, import and export are not allowed under article 4?

- ( ) Yes

No

### 4.5. Has the party discouraged the manufacture and the distribution in commerce of mercury-added products not covered by any known use in accordance with article 4, paragraph 6?

Yes

No

**If no, has there been an assessment of the risks and benefits of the product that demonstrates environmental or health benefits? Has the party provided to the secretariat, as appropriate, information on any such product?**

Yes

No

### Part E - Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so

{Empty}

▼ ART. 5: MANUFACTURING PROCESSES IN WHICH MERCURY OR MERCURY COMPOUNDS ARE USED

### 5.1. Are there facilities within the territory of the party that use mercury or mercury compounds for the processes listed in Annex B of the Minamata Convention in accordance with paragraph 5 of article 5 of the Convention?

Yes

No

I do not know

### 5.2. Are measures in place to not allow the use of mercury or mercury compounds in manufacturing processes listed in Part I of Annex B after the phase-out date specified in that Annex for the individual process?

#### CHLOR-ALKALI PRODUCTION

Yes

No

Not applicable (do not have these facilities)

#### ACETALDEHYDE PRODUCTION IN WHICH MERCURY OR MERCURY COMPOUNDS ARE USED AS A CATALYST

Yes

No

Not applicable (do not have these facilities)

### 5.3. Are measures in place to restrict the use of mercury or mercury compounds in the processes listed in Part II of Annex B in accordance with the provisions set out therein?

#### VINYL CHLORIDE MONOMER PRODUCTION

Yes

No

Not applicable (do not have these facilities)

#### SODIUM OR POTASSIUM METHYLATE OR ETHYLATE

Yes

No

Not applicable (do not have these facilities)

#### PRODUCTION OF POLYURETHANE USING MERCURY-CONTAINING CATALYSTS

Yes

No

Not applicable (do not have these facilities)

### 5.4. Is there any use of mercury or mercury compounds in a facility using the manufacturing processes listed in Annex B that did not exist prior to the date of entry into force of the Convention for the party?

Yes

No

### 5.5. Is there any facility that has been developed using any other manufacturing process in which mercury or mercury compounds are intentionally used that did not exist prior to the date of entry into force of the Convention?

Yes

No

## Part E - Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so

{Empty}

▼ ART. 7: ARTISANAL AND SMALL-SCALE GOLD MINING

### 7.1. Have steps been taken to reduce, and where feasible eliminate, the use of mercury and mercury compounds in, and the emissions and releases to the environment of mercury from, artisanal and small-scale gold mining and processing subject to article 7 within your territory?

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No
- ( ) There is no artisanal and small-scale gold mining and processing subject to article 7 in which mercury amalgamation is used in the territory

**If yes, please provide information on the steps.**
Le Code Minier de Côte d'Ivoire traite de l'Exploitation Minière Artisanale et à Petite Échelle de l'or (EMAPE) en des articles 64 à 75.
Toutefois, la prise en compte du mercure s'avère insuffisante .Il faudra des dispositions complémentaires pour traiter de la réduction ou l'élimination du mercure dans l'EMAPE

### 7.2. Has the party determined and notified the secretariat that artisanal and small-scale gold mining and processing within its territory is more than insignificant?

- (●) Yes
- ( ) No

### 7.3. Has the party developed and implemented a national action plan and submitted it to the secretariat?

- ( ) Yes
- ( ) No
- (●) In progress

### 7.4. Attach your most recent review that must be completed under paragraph 3 (c) of article 7, unless it is not yet due

{Empty}

### 7.5. Supplemental: Has the party cooperated with other countries or relevant intergovernmental organizations or other entities to achieve the objective of this article?

- ( ) Yes
- (●) No

**Please provide information**
{Empty}

## Part E - Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so

{Empty}

▼ ART. 8: EMISSIONS

## 8.1. Identify any Annex D source categories for which there are new sources of emissions of mercury or mercury compounds as defined in paragraph 2 (c) of article 8.

For each of those source categories describe the measures in place, including the effectiveness of such measures, to implement the requirements of paragraph 4 of article 8.

- [ ] Coal-fired power plants
- [ ] Coal-fired industrial boilers
- [ ] Smelting and roasting processes used in the production of non-ferrous metals
- [ ] Waste incineration facilities
- [ ] Cement clinker production facilities

**Has the party required the use of best available techniques or best environmental practices (BAT/BEP) to control and where feasible reduce emissions for new sources no later than 5 years after the date of entry into force of the Convention for the party?**

- ( ) Yes
- (•) No

**Please explain**
At the time of the ratification, Côte d'Ivoire did not require the use of BAT/BEP to control new sources of emissions due to the lack of information at country level. However, since we know that we have waste incinerators for medical wastes, inductrial processes for roasting ores and cement plants facilities, it is more than necessary to request the use of BAT/BEP to address that situation.

**Attach relevant documentation**
{Empty}

## 8.2. Identify any Annex D source categories for which there are existing sources of emissions of mercury or mercury compounds as defined in paragraph 2 (e) of article 8.

For each of those source categories, select and provide details on the measures implemented under paragraph 5 of article 8 and explain the progress that these applied measures have achieved in reducing emissions over time in your territory:

▼ COAL-FIRED POWER PLANTS

- [ ] A quantified goal for controlling and, where feasible, reducing emissions from relevant sources

☐ Emission limit values for controlling and, where feasible, reducing emissions from relevant sources

☐ Use of BAT/BEP to control emissions from relevant sources

☐ Multi-pollutant control strategy that would deliver co-benefits for control of mercury emissions

☐ Alternative measures to reduce emissions from relevant sources

**Measures**
{Empty}

**Progress**
{Empty}

▼ COAL-FIRED INDUSTRIAL BOILERS

☐ A quantified goal for controlling and, where feasible, reducing emissions from relevant sources

☐ Emission limit values for controlling and, where feasible, reducing emissions from relevant sources

☐ Use of BAT/BEP to control emissions from relevant sources

☐ Multi-pollutant control strategy that would deliver co-benefits for control of mercury emissions

☐ Alternative measures to reduce emissions from relevant sources

**Measures**
{Empty}

**Progress**
{Empty}

▼ SMELTING AND ROASTING PROCESSES USED IN THE PRODUCTION OF NON-FERROUS METALS

☐ A quantified goal for controlling and, where feasible, reducing emissions from relevant sources

☐ Emission limit values for controlling and, where feasible, reducing emissions from relevant sources

☐ Use of BAT/BEP to control emissions from relevant sources

☐ Multi-pollutant control strategy that would deliver co-benefits for control of mercury emissions

☐ Alternative measures to reduce emissions from relevant sources

**Measures**
{Empty}

**Progress**

{Empty}

▼ WASTE INCINERATION FACILITIES

- [ ] A quantified goal for controlling and, where feasible, reducing emissions from relevant sources
- [ ] Emission limit values for controlling and, where feasible, reducing emissions from relevant sources
- [ ] Use of BAT/BEP to control emissions from relevant sources
- [ ] Multi-pollutant control strategy that would deliver co-benefits for control of mercury emissions
- [ ] Alternative measures to reduce emissions from relevant sources

**Measures**
{Empty}

**Progress**
{Empty}

▼ CEMENT CLINKER PRODUCTION FACILITIES

- [ ] A quantified goal for controlling and, where feasible, reducing emissions from relevant sources
- [ ] Emission limit values for controlling and, where feasible, reducing emissions from relevant sources
- [ ] Use of BAT/BEP to control emissions from relevant sources
- [ ] Multi-pollutant control strategy that would deliver co-benefits for control of mercury emissions
- [ ] Alternative measures to reduce emissions from relevant sources

**Measures**
{Empty}

**Progress**
{Empty}

**Have the measures for existing sources under paragraph 5 of article 8 been implemented no later than 10 years after the date of entry into force of the Convention for the party?**

- ○ Yes
- ◉ No

**Please explain**

The application decree is expected to be signed by 2023.

### 8.3. Has the party prepared an inventory of emissions from relevant sources within 5 years of entry into force of the Convention for it?

- ○ Yes
- ○ No
- ◉ Have not been a party for 5 years

### 8.4. Has the party chosen to establish criteria to identify relevant sources covered within a source category?

- ○ Yes
- ◉ No

### 8.5. Has the party chosen to prepare a national plan setting out the measures to be taken to control emissions from relevant sources and its expected targets, goals and outcomes?

- ○ Yes
- ◉ No

### Part E - Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so

{Empty}

▼ ART. 9: RELEASES

### 9.1. Are there, within the party's territory, relevant sources of releases as defined in paragraph 2 (b) of article 9?

- ○ Yes
- ◉ No
- ○ I do not know

### 9.2. Has the party established an inventory of releases from relevant sources within 5 years of entry into force of the convention for it?

- ○ Yes
- ○ Relevant sources do not exist in the territory
- ◉ Have not been a party for 5 years
- ○ No

### Part E - Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so

{Empty}

▼ ART. 10: ENVIRONMENTALLY SOUND INTERIM STORAGE OF MERCURY, OTHER THAN WASTE MERCURY

## 10.1. Has the party taken measures to ensure that the interim storage of non-waste mercury and mercury compounds intended for a use allowed to a party under the Convention is undertaken in an environmentally sound manner?

- ○ Yes
- ○ No
- ◉ I do not know

**Please explain**
At this time, I am not sure that Côte d'Ivoire has an interim storage of non-waste mercury and mercury compounds

## Part E - Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so

{Empty}

▼ ART. 11: MERCURY WASTES

## 11.1. Have measures outlined in article 11, paragraph 3, been implemented for the party's mercury waste?

- ○ Yes
- ◉ No

## 11.2. Are there facilities for final disposal of waste consisting of mercury or mercury compounds in the party's territory?

- ○ Yes
- ◉ No
- ○ I do not know

## Part E - Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so

{Empty}

▼ ART. 12: CONTAMINATED SITES

## 12.1. Has the party endeavoured to develop strategies for identifying and assessing sites contaminated by mercury or mercury compounds in its territory?

- ○ Yes
- ◉ No

**Please elaborate**
At this time, Côte d'Ivoire is implementing its project "Development of a national action plan on ASGM, which should include strategies for identifying and assessing sites contaminated by mercury or mercury compounds. The national action plan will be completed by December 2022.

## Part E - Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so

{Empty}

▼ ART. 13: FINANCIAL RESOURCES AND MECHANISM

## 13.1. Has the party undertaken to provide, within its capabilities, resources in respect of those national activities that are intended to implement the Convention in accordance with its national policies, priorities, plans and programmes?

- ○ Yes
- ◉ No

**Please specify**
Le Fonds National pour l'Environnement dont dispose la Côte d'Ivoire qui pourrait servir comme base dans la recherche de ressource la mise en œuvre de la Convention de Minamata est quasi insuffisant.

**Please provide comments, if any.**
{Empty}

## 13.2. Supplemental: Has the party, within its capabilities, contributed to the mechanism referred to in paragraph 5 of article 13?

- ◉ Yes
- ○ No

**Please specify**
Côte d'Ivoire a contribué à la reconstitution des fonds du FEM. Sa contribution financière est de 4 millions de DST.
**Please provide comments, if any.**

{Empty}

## 13.3. Supplemental: Has the party provided financial resources to assist developing-country parties and/or parties with economies in transition in the implementation of the Convention through other bilateral, regional and multilateral sources or channels?

- [ ] Yes
- [x] No

**Please specify**
Car la Côte d'Ivoire de dispose pas assez de ressources financière et c'est un pays en developpement

**Please provide comments, if any.**
{Empty}

## Part E - Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so

{Empty}

▼ ART. 14: CAPACITY-BUILDING, TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AND TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER

## 14.1. Has the party cooperated to provide capacity-building or technical assistance, pursuant to article 14, to another party to the Convention?

- [ ] Yes
- [x] No

**Please specify**
Le pays n'a pas les moyens nécessaires pour assister un autre pays car il est un pays en développement

## 14.2. Supplemental: Has the party received capacity-building or technical assistance pursuant to article 14?

- [ ] Yes
- [x] No

**Please specify**
La Côte d'Ivoire n'a pas encore eu l'occasion d'être assister par des partenaires ou des organisation régionales ou sous-régionales

**Please provide comments, if any.**
{Empty}

## 14.3. Has the party promoted and facilitated the development, transfer and diffusion of and access to, up-to-date environmentally sound alternative technologies?

- [x] Yes
- [ ] No
- [ ] Other

**Please specify**

La Côte d'Ivoire a toujours encouragé et facilité le développement, le transfert, la diffusion et l'accès à des technologies alternatives modernes et respectueuses de l'environnement depuis juin 2018 dans les écoles dentaires par :

- Modification des programmes de l'école dentaire en donnant la priorité aux alternatives sans mercure dans les soins de restauration ;
- Changement de politique dans le traitement des caries dentaires en donnant la priorité aux alternatives sans mercure chez tous les patients ;
- Validation de la réglementation en accord avec tous les dentistes pour interdire les amalgames au mercure chez les enfants de moins de 15 ans et les femmes en 2018 ;
- Sensibilisation des artisans miniers artisanaux à la suppression de l'utilisation du mercure.
- Partage du projet de décret avec la Guinée en 2019 et avec le Congo 2020 sur les informations sur la dentisterie sans mercure.

## Part E - Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so

{Empty}

▼ ART. 16: HEALTH ASPECTS

## 16.1. Have measures been taken to provide information to the public on exposure to mercury in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 16?

○ Yes

◉ No

## 16.2. Have any other measures been taken to protect human health in accordance with article 16?

◉ Yes

○ No

**Supplemental: If yes, describe the measures that have been taken.**

- Décret No 2015-288 du 29 avril 2015 portant réglementation des produits cosmétiques et de produits d'hygiène corporelle;
- Arrêté N°159 / MINAGRI du 21 juin 2004 portant interdiction d'emploi en agriculture de substances actives entrant dans la fabrication des produits phytopharmaceutiques, interdit l'emploi en agriculture de substances actives contenant du mercure

## Part E - Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so

{Empty}

▼ ART. 17: INFORMATION EXCHANGE

## 17.1. Has the party facilitated the exchange of information referred to in article 17, paragraph 1?

○ Yes

◉ No

**Please provide more information, if any**
Le pays n'a pas les moyens et la capacité technique pour faire les recherches et avoir les informations scientifiques concernant le mercure et les composés du mercure

## Part E - Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so

{Empty}

▼ ART. 18: PUBLIC INFORMATION, AWARENESS AND EDUCATION

## 18.1. Have measures been taken to promote and facilitate the provision to the public of the kinds of information listed in article 18, paragraph 1?

- ○ Yes
- ◉ No

## Part E - Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so

{Empty}

▼ ART. 19: RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT AND MONITORING

## 19.1. Has the party undertaken any research, development and monitoring in accordance with paragraph 1 of article 19?

- ○ Yes
- ◉ No

## Part E - Additional comments on the article in free text if the party chooses to do so

{Empty}

▼ COMMENTS

## Part C: Comments regarding possible challenges in meeting the objectives of the Convention (Art. 21, para. 1)

- No space or facility for interim storage
- Storage of toxic substances is forbidden by the law No 88-651 of 7 July 1988

▼ SUPPLEMENTAL - ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

## Supplemental: Part D: Comments regarding the reporting format and possible improvements, if any

{Empty}

Secretariat of the Minamata Convention on Mercury
International Environment House 1
Office address: 11-13 chemin des Anémones, 1219 Châtelaine, Geneva, Switzerland
Postal address: Avenue de la Paix 8-14, 1211 Geneva 10, Switzerland
MEA-MinamataSecretariat@un.org | www.mercuryconvention.org

UN environment programme